Interventional radiology in ectopic pregnancy management
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ABSTRACT

Ectopic pregnancies especially cervical, tubal and cesarean scar pregnancies, represent obstetrical emergencies that endanger the fertility and, more important, the life of women. Interventional radiology has gained popularity over the last years in the treatment of ectopic pregnancy as combined treatment with methotrexate administration in cases of tubal pregnancy, first line treatment in cases of cesarean scar pregnancies or, more recently, as single line management in cases of cervical pregnancies with astonishing results and numerous benefits. Its primary advantage is represented by fertility preservation among young fertile women simultaneously with the avoidance of an invasive surgical method with the subsequent risks and possible complications. We present a narrative review regarding success rates of uterine artery embolization in the treatment of ectopic pregnancies.
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INTRODUCTION

Ectopic pregnancies represent extrauterine pregnancies, the vast majority are located in the fallopian tube; other locations implied are the cervix, the uterine horn, the hysterotomy or cesarean scar, ovary or the abdominal cavity (1). Regarding the incidence of ectopic pregnancy, there has been reported a range between 6 and 16% of patients who present to an emergency department with first trimester vaginal bleeding and/or pelvic pain (2). Ectopic pregnancies represent life-threatening pathologies. Due to modern methods of early diagnosis of pregnancy using transvaginal ultrasound, the maternal mortality rate has encountered a decreasing trend from 1.15 per 100,000 live births between 1980 and 1984 to 0.50 deaths per 100,000 between 2003 and 2007 (3). In contrast, cesarean scar pregnancies have an increasing tendency as the number of cesarean deliveries performed every year grows worldwide, the incidence ranging from 1/2226 to 1/1899 of pregnancies (4,5). The diagnosis of ectopic pregnancies is realized in women with amenorrhea and an atypical increasing of β-hCG serum values trends and using transvaginal ultrasound evaluation, which reveals an empty uterine cavity. The clinical picture of ectopic pregnancy includes women who present to the emergency room most frequently for vaginal bleeding or pelvic pain and sometimes lipothymia, signs of hypovolemic shock such as tachycardia, hypotension (6).
Actual treatment of ectopic pregnancies consists in medical or radical treatment (surgical), the latter being the last treatment line in some cases and influencing primarily the woman’s fertility or reproductive capacity. Interventional radiology, in well-selected cases with elevated β-hCG serum levels used as a complementary minimally invasive treatment, represents a useful tool in managing cases of ectopic pregnancies, either tubal (7), cervical (8) or cesarean scar pregnancy, having multiple advantages such as preserving the patient’s fertility, reducing the surgical stress of the patient, reducing the bleeding in the management of cervical and cesarean scar pregnancies or even being cost-effective in relation to hysterectomy or salpingectomy.

**CONVENTIONAL TREATMENT**

There are two medical attitudes regarding the tubal pregnancy treatment: medical and surgical. The medical treatment involves Methotrexate (MTX) administration to patients who have a serum β-hCG value ≤ 5,000 mIU/ml, are hemodynamically stable, the tubal pregnancy does not present fetal cardiac activity and consent to medical treatment (9). Contraindications for MTX administrations make the patient a candidate for surgery (the patient is hemodynamically instable, presents an intrauterine pregnancy, there are signs and symptoms of tubal pregnancy rupture or associated pathologies that are contraindications for MTX administration) as well as the patient’s desire for a surgery involving a simultaneous surgical procedure (10).

Concerning cervical pregnancies, the treatment varies from systemic MTX, dilatation and curettage, MTX or potassium chloride injection in the gestational sac, uterine artery embolization to hysterectomy. Being a rare pathology, there are not clear criteria for choosing the medical or surgical treatment as shown in the case of tubal pregnancy (11).

Cesarean scar pregnancy, pathology with a rising incidence nowadays, presents its own particularities in terms of treatment. The Society for Maternal-Fetal Medicine recommends surgery treatment respectively resection or medical treatment consisting of MTX administration in association with surgical treatment (12,13). Expectant management, curettage or MTX administration as single method of treatment are not recommended due to the elevated risk of maternal morbidity and mortality (perforation, hemorrhage, development of arteriovenous malformation etc.) (14,15). The different situations of this pathology require different approaches molded on each case. Other proposed treatments include laparoscopic management (16) robotically assisted or not (17), uterine arteries ligation (18) or transvaginal hysterotomy (19). There are protocols proposing the use of ultrasound guidance in vacuum aspiration due to the diminished amount of blood loss (20) whereas others propose curettage after a multidose regimen of MTX (21).

**THE ROLE OF INTERVENTIONAL RADIOLOGY**

Interventional radiology is widely used as an alternative, respectively it represents a conservative management in the gynecological sphere: uterine artery embolization (UAE) for uterine leiomyomas (22), uterine adenomyosis (23), arteriovenous malformations (24) and pelvic congestion syndrome (25). In the last years, interventional radiology has been indispensable in the management of obstetric hemorrhage: postpartum hemorrhage, abnormal placentation, abortion, as well as the therapeutic management of cervical ectopic pregnancy (CP) (26), cesarean scar pregnancy (CSP) (27) and fallopian tube ectopic pregnancy (TP) (28). We have a vast experience in the collaboration with Interventional Radiology Department of Bucharest University Emergency Hospital regarding CP (Figures 1 and 2), CSP (Figures 3-6) and TP (Figures 7 and 8) treatment. More than this, we have submitted our cases to Cesarean Scar Pregnancy Register and we published recently a proposed minimal invasive 100% success rate therapeutic protocol for first trimester diagnosed CSP (29).

**FIGURE 1. Interventional radiology image of a cervical pregnancy before UAE**

UAE can be used as single method of treatment or in association with other procedures. In the vast majority of cases uterine artery embolization is used as a complementary method in the management of ectopic pregnancies to increase efficiency of the entire treatment, minimize blood loss and reduce the requirement of last line treatment- irreversible surgery, either salpingectomy or hysterectomy (26).
FIGURE 2. Interventional radiology image of a cervical pregnancy after UAE (occlusion of the uterine artery)

FIGURE 3. Interventional radiology image on the left side of a cesarean scar pregnancy before UAE

FIGURE 4. Interventional radiology image on the right side of a cesarean scar pregnancy before UAE

FIGURE 5. Interventional radiology image on the left side of a cesarean scar pregnancy after UAE

FIGURE 6. Interventional radiology image on the right side of a cesarean scar pregnancy during UAE procedure

FIGURE 7. Interventional radiology image of a tubal pregnancy before UAE
METHODS

In this paper we searched the literature using PubMed and we used the terms ‘interventional radiology’ and ‘ectopic pregnancy’ to identify the conservative treatment methods for tubal, cervical and cesarean scar pregnancy. The search included articles from 2010 until November 2021. We screened the abstracts in order to select relevant studies. We included case series, retrospective studies, prospective cohort studies, randomized control study and case reports.

RESULTS

The initial search returned a total of 56 articles. We screening the articles, removed the duplicates and selected the relevant articles to our paper. Therefore, 9 articles related to the use of interventional radiology in the treatment of ectopic pregnancies were included in our review. Our review includes a total of 415 patients treated with UAE: 155 patients with CSP, 62 with CP and 198 with TP (Figures 3 and 4). The treatment success rate was between 76.9% (30) and 100% (27,31-34). The main results are presented in Table 1.

UAE in association with MTX and suction and curettage

4 patients (1 case of CSP and 3 cases of CP) were treated successfully using MTX administration, followed by UAE, suction and curettage (27,33). The patient with CSP was administered iv MTX with a slight decrease in the β-hCG, followed by UAE and a curettage was performed under simultaneous laparoscopic vision. The intra-operative blood loss was estimated at 50mL (27). The 3 cases of CP received a single dose of MTX: 1 patient was administered MTX intramuscular, the second patient was administered intra-arterial MTX prior to the finalization of the UAE and the third patient was given a parametrial shot of MTX simultaneously to the UAE. The curettage was performed in the first 72 hours after UAE to have a maximum benefit of the UAE (33).

UAE followed by suction and curettage

From our total of 415 patients, 133 were treated using UAE followed by curettage (31,33,34), among which Wu et al. (33) included 25 patients treated with UAE followed by hysteroscopic curettage. The success rates between 98% and 100%. One patient with CSP needed repeated suction and curettage (31); Ou et al. (31) compared the success rate of suction and curettage in cases of CSP in two groups: the first in which suction and curettage was used as single treatment and the second in which UAE was performed prior to suction and curettage. There were 4 cases of CSP in the first group in which the treatment failed: 2 patients received subsequently systematic MTX and 2 patients repeated the curettage. In the second group one patient needed a reinter-vention and a second curettage was performed.

UAE used as single treatment

A study by Niola et al. (32) published in 2014 included 41 cases of postpartum hemorrhage and 22 patients diagnosed with CP. The patients with CP were treated using UAE with a success rate of 100%, after which the patients were monitored using clinical and ultrasound examination at 1 month, 6 months and at 12 months 10 patients were pregnant and there were no issues during pregnancy, with an uterine uneventful delivery.

DISCUSSION

Our research included 415 patients with ectopic pregnancy treated with UAE as single or combined treatment. From these 415 patients, in 8 cases (1.92%) treatment failed and the patients underwent radical treatment respectively surgery. In this group of 8 patients, 2 patients received treatment with MTX and UAE (35), 4 received treatment with local infusion of 5-fluorouracil, an antimetabolism
### TABLE 1. Summary of the articles included in our review and main results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Article</th>
<th>Study type</th>
<th>No patients</th>
<th>Patients’ inclusion criteria</th>
<th>Successful treatment rate</th>
<th>Reintervention rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kwon et al. 2017 (30)</td>
<td>Retrospective study</td>
<td>5 6 1</td>
<td>– high serum β-hCG level</td>
<td>76.9%</td>
<td>23.1% (2 CP+1 CSP) needed another UAE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ou et al. 2020 (31)</td>
<td>Prospective cohort study</td>
<td>65 – –</td>
<td>– diagnosis of CSP</td>
<td>98% (combined with suction and curettage)</td>
<td>2% (1 case) needed repeated suction and curettage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niola et al. 2014 (32)</td>
<td>Retrospective study</td>
<td>– 22 –</td>
<td>– patients with ectopic pregnancy, follow-up of minimum 12 months</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radajurai et al. 2021 (27)</td>
<td>Case report</td>
<td>1 – –</td>
<td>– unsuccessful MTX therapy</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hu et al. 2016 (33)</td>
<td>Retrospective study</td>
<td>– 19 –</td>
<td>– CP diagnosed by transvaginal color Doppler sonography</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wu et al. 2021 (34)</td>
<td>Retrospective study</td>
<td>52 – –</td>
<td>– history of amenorrhea, history of C section, high β-hCG serum level, diagnosis of CSP using ultrasound examination</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tan et al. 2014 (35)</td>
<td>Prospective study</td>
<td>– – 162</td>
<td>– patients hemodynamically stable with TP</td>
<td>98.76%</td>
<td>1.23% (2 patients) needed surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gao et al. 2018 (36)</td>
<td>Randomized control study</td>
<td>25 10 35</td>
<td>– diagnosis of EP, high serum levels of β-hCG, refused surgical resection or desire to preserve the uterus, signed the informed consent</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>12% (6 patients needed subsequent MTX treatment and 6 needed surgery)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elmokadem et al. 2019 (37)</td>
<td>Retrospective study</td>
<td>7 5</td>
<td>– ultrasound criteria for CSP and CP</td>
<td>90.9%</td>
<td>9.1% (1 patient needed a second UAE)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11 patients of the 415 patients included in our paper (2.65%) needed a second approach: 6 patients needed MTX administration (36), 4 patients repeated the UAE (29,36) and 1 patient required a repeated suction and curettage (31).

Uterine artery embolization, used as single or combined treatment, was successful in 396 patients from the 415 selected for our study, result a 95.42% success rate, so we can conclude that 95.42% of women receiving this treatment had their fertility saved and did not undergo surgery, resulting in the best possible outcome.

In Romania, there are medical centers which present an interventional radiology department that make possible to form multidisciplinary teams (gynecologist, interventional radiologist, anesthesiologist) with the aim to minimally invasive treat patients with ectopic pregnancies with significant results in reducing radical treatment among women of fertile age who desire to preserve their fertility or refuse surgery or have associated pathologies that might put their life at risk during surgery.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Uterine artery embolization has proven its utility in managing cases of ectopic pregnancies as single line treatment or combined with methotrexate administered either local, intramuscular, or intra arterial during uterine/ovarian artery embolization, associated with suction and/or curettage or with hysteroscopic curettage, thus avoiding a major surgical intervention that compromises the female fertility (hysterectomy or salpingectomy) with additional possible surgical complications, reducing the costs of medical healthcare and the surgical stress to which the patient is subjected.
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