

Associations between body mass index and hormonal receptor, Her2neu receptor as well as other clinical characteristics of breast cancer

By Ahmed Qassim Hadi

22

10 **Associations between body mass index and hormonal receptor, Her2neu receptor as well as other clinical characteristics of breast cancer**

Ahmed Qassim Hadi ¹, Adil S. Aqabi ²

1 Basrah Oncology Center, Basrah Health Directorate, Ministry of Health, Basrah, Iraq

2 Baghdad Medical City, Baghdad, Iraq

Corresponding author:

Ahmed Qassim Hadi

E-mail: ahmedsalihdr2008@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

Background: Obesity is a growing problem in the world. Correlations have been found between increasing body mass index and breast cancer. BC have multiple predictive and prognostic factor like hormonal receptors, human epidermal growth factor 2 receptor, stage of the disease and grade. Obesity may be differentially related to the risk of different subtypes given the various potential mechanisms underlying its association with breast cancer. The study aims to analyze the association between BMI, BC histopathologic, ER, PR and HER2neu receptors expression, tumor size axillary nodes involvement, metastasis and nuclear grade.

Methods: A cross sectional study was conducted involving 256 cases with breast cancer. Oncology teaching hospital based BC cases had been interviewed and data collected regarding weight & height, estrogen and progesterone receptors status, human epidermal growth factor 2 receptor, stage of the disease, grade of tumor at time of diagnosis, and categorize the cases to pre and postmenopausal state and then subdivided according to body mass index (normal, overweight, obese) and compare between three group.

Results: Overweight/obese showed significant statistical effect with estrogen and progesterone receptors positive P value = *0.026*, *0.007* respectively, larger tumor size P value = *0.035*, and more lymph node involvement P value = *0.031* in post-menopausal women, while premenopausal cases did not show significant statistical effect regarding above variables. Body mass index difference did not show a significant statistical effect on human epidermal growth factor 2 receptor, metastasis at diagnosis, tumor grade in both pre and postmenopausal cases.

Conclusion: Body mass index difference affect postmenopausal BC cases rather than premenopausal as overweight/obese cases presented with more estrogen and progesterone receptors positive, larger tumor size and more lymph node involvement.

Keywords: postmenopausal, breast cancer, human epidermal growth factor 2 receptor expression, tumor size, HER2neu

INTRODUCTION

BC is the most common cancer in the world and the leading cause of cancer-related deaths in women (1). Breast tumors have long been classified according to morphological features, histological type, and stage; the majority of breast cancers are invasive ductal carcinoma (75%), invasive lobular carcinoma (10%), or a combination of these [2] , 3]. The histological classification system of BC is a semi quantitative morphological assessment consisting of percentage tumor size, degree of nuclear pleomorphism, and mitotic number in a predetermined area. Based on the scores for these features, three numbers indicate the difference in BC: low, medium and high [1]. Identification of molecular markers such as expression of estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (PR), and human growth factor 2 (Her2neu) has also provided value as a predictor of prognosis in women diagnosed with BC [1].

ER and PR are poor markers but are highly predictive of response to endocrine therapy. ER functions as a ligand-induced transcription factor that regulates gene expression through interactions with hormone receptors [1].

Her2 is a member of the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) tyrosine kinase family, which includes four transmembrane receptor proteins: EGFR-1, HER2, HER3, and HER4. Receptor activation through ligand binding or by ligand-independent effects results in homo- or heterodimerization of receptor proteins that stimulate cell growth, cell survival, migration, and angiogenesis. Overexpression of the 185 kd her2 protein results from gene amplification, which is found in approximately 20% of breast cancer cases. Her2 status is defined by the ASCO/CAP guidelines updated in 2013 [3].

Obesity is an important public health problem. The prevalence of overweight and obesity in Iraq was 61.1% and 76% [1, 2]. These high figures are explained by economic development, urbanization and social development, which lead people to eat more and are associated with a sedentary lifestyle. Changes in these conditions have led to obesity [1]. Body weight can be measured as body weight relative to height or can be measured by body fat distribution. BMI (weight in kg/height in m²) or type of obesity with central or peripheral fat distribution are the two most commonly used and clinically relevant classifications to determine the degree of obesity [1].

BMI is an indicator of body fat for most people. It is used as a screening tool to determine whether an adult is at a healthy weight. What matters is how much you weigh according to your height. A BMI between 18.5 and 25 kg/m² indicates normal weight. A BMI below 18.5 kg/m² is considered

underweight. A BMI between 25 kg/m² and 29.9 kg/m² is considered overweight. A BMI of 30 kg/m² or more is considered obese [1].

Obesity has been identified as a risk factor for BC in postmenopausal women [1, 2]. Estrogen is produced mainly by female sperm through the aromatization of testosterone and androstenedione by aromatase. Adipose tissue also produces aromatase; Therefore, the conversion of androgens to estrogens in postmenopausal men and women depends on the type of fatty tissue. Estrogen signaling has many effects that are thought to promote tumor growth: it can induce cell proliferation, inhibit apoptosis, and induce angiogenesis [2]. Additionally, it is associated with low levels of sex hormone binding globulin, which increases free estradiol and is associated with many cancers [1].

Several studies report that obesity poses a risk of BC in pregnant women, with the risk decreasing by approximately 10% per 5 kg per m² [1, 2]. The effect is particularly pronounced in tumors expressing (ER) and/or (PR); In contrast, the risk of ER/PR breast cancer increases threefold (80% increased risk per 5 kg increase per m²) [3].

The study aims to analyze the association between BMI, BC histopathologic, ER, PR and HER2neu receptors expression, tumor size axillary nodes involvement, metastasis and nuclear grade.

METHODS

Study design

Hospital based, cross sectional study was conducted to evaluate the effect of BMI on BC case regarding ER, PR, Her2 nue status, As well as tumor size, lymph node status, metastasis, grade of tumor at time of diagnosis of BC women.

Study population

A sample of a total (256) BC case who were diagnosed on basis of histopathology obtained from mastectomy, breast conserving surgery and Tru-cut biopsy from breast mass or metastatic site.

Immunohistochemistry for ER, PR and Her2neu expression evaluated by either oncology teaching hospital pathology department lab or private pathology clinic lab.

Staging of the disease had been done by different methods of image modalities or by histopathology of the metastatic site.

Data collection

The study started in 1st of January, 2018 and ended in the 31st of December, 2018. BC cases sample were collected by direct interview during the cases visit for regular follow up in oncology teaching hospital clinic.

Data collection at time of diagnosis taken from the registry book of the cases age, menopausal status, weight, height, ER and PR status, HER 2 nue status, grade, tumor size, lymph node involvement ,

metastasis and grade. Cases sample divided to premenopausal and postmenopausal state then subdivided according to their BMI into normal weight index <25 BMI, over weight 25-30 and obese > 30.

Inclusion criteria

All BC cases with complete documents regarding study variables in who newly diagnosed or came for regular treatment schedule or follow up date to the consultation clinic in oncology teaching hospital.

Exclusion criteria

1. Cases with family history of breast cancer
2. History of thyroid disease
3. History of steroid use

Ethical consideration

The research approved by the scientific and ethical committee in Iraqi board of medical specialties.

Statistical analysis

For the purpose of statistical analysis, Chi-Square and Fisher's Exact Tests were applied and any p-value, for an association/ difference, equals or below 0.05 was considered significant statistical association/ difference. These two tests were applied through using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS), version 23.

RESULTS

A total number of cases included in this study are (256). One hundred twenty four cases in premenopausal state and one hundred thirty two in postmenopausal state at time of diagnosis. The percentage of estrogen positive and progesterone positive was obviously higher in all sampled cases which are more in postmenopausal cases. Regarding Her2nue receptors about two third was negative in pre and postmenopausal cases. In premenopausal cases more than half of the cases were T2 disease (57.4%), regarding lymph node involvement the cases sample distributed between N0, N1 and N2. Majority of the case presented with non-metastatic disease (80.6%). Postmenopausal cases presented in similar way as the T2 diseases had the highest percentage (59.1%) but with higher percentage of N3 (15.9%) and metastatic disease (25.8%). Concerning the grade of tumor, intermediate grade seen in (60.5%) of premenopausal cases and (66.7%) in postmenopausal cases, low grade had the least percentage in the two groups. In premenopausal cases 18 (14.5%) were normal BMI, 45 (36.3%) over weight and 61 (49.2%) obese. Whereas in Postmenopausal cases 11 (8.3%) were normal weight, 37 (28.0%) over weight and 84 (63.6%) obese. In overall; the percentage of obesity was high in all the sampled cases but more obesity was seen in postmenopausal cases than premenopausal.

Table (1) show there is no significant statistical association between BMI and ER in premenopausal cases as the $p = 0.875$. Whereas in postmenopausal women there is significant statistical association between BMI and ER positive $P = 0.026$.

Table 1: BMI with estrogen receptors in pre menopause cases

PREMENOPAUSE				
BODY MASS INDEX				
	<25	25-30	>30	
ER + VE	13 (15.1%)	32 (37.2%)	41 (47.7%)	86 (100%)
ER - VE	5 (13.2%)	13 (34.2%)	20 (52.6%)	38 (100%)
	18	45	61	124
$P = 0.875$				TOTAL

When there is increase in BMI, the percentage of cases with ER positive also increases as shown in Table (2).

Table 3 and table 4 demonstrate the relation between BMI and PR receptors which presented in similar trend of ER in relation to BMI. As there is no significant statistical

Table 2: BMI with estrogen receptors in post menopause cases

POSTMENOPAUSE				
BODY MASS INDEX				
	<25	25-30	>30	
ER + VE	8 (6.8%)	30 (25.6%)	78 (67.5%)	117 (100%)
ER - VE	3 (20%)	7 (46.7%)	5 (33.3%)	15 (100%)
	11	37	84	132
$P = 0.026$				TOTAL

association between PR receptors and BMI in premenopausal women $P=0.379$ and significant statistical association in postmenopausal cases $P = 0.007$.

Table 3: BMI with progesterone receptors in pre menopause cases

PREMENOPAUSE				
BODY MASS INDEX				
	<25	25-30	>30	
PR + VE	15 (17.4%)	30 (34.9%)	41 (47.7%)	86 (100%)
PR – VE	3 (7.9%)	15 (39.5%)	20 (52.6%)	38 (100%)
	18	45	61	124
<i>P = 0.379</i>				TOTAL

Table 4: BMI with progesterone receptors in post menopause cases

POSTMENOPAUSE				
BODY MASS INDEX				
	<25	25-30	>30	
PR + VE	7 (6.1%)	29 (25.4%)	78 (68.4%)	114 (100%)
PR – VE	4 (22.2%)	8 (44.4%)	6 (33.3%)	18 (100%)
	11	37	84	132
<i>P = 0.007</i>				TOTAL

There is no significant statistical effect of BMI on Her2nue whether in pre or post menopause cases, P value 0.687, 0.486 respectively. There is no significant statistical association between BMI and tumor size in premenopausal women Table (5), the highest percentage of T1 and T2 is seen in > 30 BMI, while the highest percentage of T3 is seen in 25-30 BMI. Meanwhile T4 showed equal percentage in 25-30 and >30 BMI. $P = 0.354$ as shown in Table 5.

Table 5: BMI association with tumor size in premenopausal cases

PREMENOPAUSE				
BODY MASS INDEX				
	<25	25-30	>30	
T1	4 (20%)	5 (25%)	11 (55%)	20 (100%)
T2	8 (11.3%)	25 (35.2%)	38 (53.5%)	71 (100%)
T3	6 (24%)	11 (44%)	8 (32%)	25 (100%)
T4	0 (0.0%)	3 (50%)	3 (50%)	6 (100%)
	18	44	60	122
$P = 0.354$				TOTAL

On the other hand, postmenopausal group showed a significant statistical association between BMI and tumor size as demonstrated in table 6. Where T2, T3, T4 showed the highest percentage when the BMI is over 30. $P = 0.035$ as shown in Table 6.

Table 6: BMI association with tumor size in postmenopausal cases

POSTMENOPAUSE				
BODY MASS INDEX				
	<25	25-30	>30	
T1	1 (7.1%)	9 (64.3%)	4 (28.6%)	14 (100%)
T2	5 (6.4%)	19 (24.4%)	54 (69.2%)	78 (100%)

T3	3 (10%)	6 (20%)	21 (70%)	30 (100%)
T4	2 (20%)	3 (30%)	5 (50%)	10 (100%)
	11	37	84	132
P = 0.035				TOTAL

In premenopausal cases no significant statistical ⁴³ association between BMI and number of lymph node involvement as shown in Table 7.

Table 7: BMI association with lymph node staging in premenopausal cases

PREMENOPAUSE				
BODY MASS INDEX				
	<25	25-30	>30	
N 0	9 (25%)	13 (36.1%)	14 (38.9%)	36 (100%)
N 1	4 (11.8%)	14 (41.2%)	16 (47.1%)	34 (100%)
N 2	4 (9.8%)	14 (34.1)	23 (56.1%)	41 (100%)
N 3	1 (9.1%)	3 (27.3%)	7 (36.6%)	11 (100%)
	18	44	60	122
P = 0.435				TOTAL

Note: 2 cases are missing due to lack of local staging

Table 8: BMI association with lymph node staging in postmenopausal cases

POSTMENOPAUSE				
BODY MASS INDEX				
	<25	25-30	>30	
N 0	5 (14.7%)	6 (17.6%)	23 (67.6%)	34 (100%)

N 1	6 (14.6%)	15 (36.6)	20 (48.8%)	41 (100%)
N 2	0 (0.0%)	12 (32.4%)	25 (67.6)	37 (100%)
N 3	0 (0.0%)	4 (20%)	16 (80%)	20 (100%)
	11	37	84	132
<i>P = 0.031</i>				TOTAL

Table 8 demonstrated the significant statistical association between BMI and lymph node staging in postmenopausal cases P value (0.031). in the sample collected N2 and N3 equals 0.0% in BMI < 25 while N2 67.6%, N3 was 80% in BMI > 30.

Neither pre nor post-menopausal women had shown any statistically significant association between the BMI and BC metastasis at time of diagnosis as shown in tables 9, 10 respectively. M0 percentage was higher in all sampled cases.

Table 9: BMI association with metastasis at presentation in premenopausal cases

PREMENOPAUSE				
BODY MASS INDEX				
	<25	25-30	>30	
M 0	15 (15%)	35 (35%)	50 (50%)	100 (100%)
M 1	3 (12.5%)	10 (41.7%)	11 (45.8%)	24 (100%)
	18	45	61	124
<i>P = 0.823</i>				TOTAL

Table 10: BMI association with metastasis at presentation in postmenopausal cases

POSTMENOPAUSE				
BODY MASS INDEX				
	<25	25-30	>30	
M 0	9 (9.2%)	25 (25.5%)	64 (65.3%)	98 (100%)

M 1	2 (5.9%)	12 (35.3%)	20 (58.8%)	34 (100%)
	11	37	84	132
P = 0.507				TOTAL

The Table (11) shows no significant statistical association between BMI and tumor grade in premenopausal cases as $p=0.372$.

Table 11: BMI association with tumor grade in premenopausal cases

PREMENOPAUSE				
BODY MASS INDEX				
	<25	25-30	>30	
LOW	2 (20%)	1 (10%)	7 (70%)	10 (100%)
INTERMEDIATE	12 (16%)	27 (36%)	36 (48%)	75 (100%)
HIGH	4 (10.3%)	17 (43.6%)	18 (46.2%)	39 (100%)
	18	45	61	
P =0.372				TOTAL

The postmenopausal cases also show no significant statistical association between BMI and tumor grade p value 0.768 as shown in Table 12.

Table 12: BMI association with tumor grade in postmenopausal cases

POSTMENOPAUSE				
BODY MASS INDEX				
	<25	25-30	>30	
LOW	1 (10%)	2 (20%)	7 (70%)	10 (100%)
INTERMEDIATE	7 (8%)	23 (26.1%)	58 (65.9%)	88 (100%)
HIGH	3 (8.8%)	12 (35.3%)	19 (55.9%)	35 (100%)
	11	37	84	132

P =0.768

TOTAL

DISCUSSION

In our study, regarding BMI association with ER/PR receptors we observed that ER/PR positive BC is statistically significant with BMI in postmenopausal women, over weight and obese cases tend to presented with ER/PR positive more often. This result was observed in many previous studies, [2-5].

In premenopausal cases our results is in agreement with Govind Babu et al, [6], Dalton et al, [7] and Biglia et al, [8] in that BMI does not have statistical effect on ER/PR receptors, while White et al, [9] report that obesity is associated with lower ER positive BC risk before menopause.

This endocrine setting may explain the strong association between obesity and positive ER/PR cancers, but available data are not yet consistent, especially in young children. During menopause, the systemic estrogen level is not affected by peripheral aromatization because estrogen is produced mainly by the ovaries; Obesity does not appear to play a significant role in cancer development in young women who are more likely to develop benign tumors, and many authors have reported that the obese have a lower risk of BC before menopause compared to menopause [2].

There are few case-control studies examining the effect of immune factors on HER-2 expression in postmenopausal breast cancer. Most found an association between BMI and post-BC menopause risk overall, but no association between BMI and Her2-positive cancers [2], in this study there was no statistical significant effect of BMI on Her2nue receptors in both pre and postmenopausal cases as in Biglia et al, [8]. On the other hand Nikos Tsakountakis et al, [10] shows that Her2 nue positive cases is more when BMI > 30 kg/m.

There is general consensus that obese and overweight cases most likely presented with larger tumor size and more axillary lymph nodes involvement this may be explained by hypothesis first, that Overweight/obese women have large breasts, so it can be difficult to detect masses in these women because masses are difficult to find in large breasts. This hypothesis is supported by several studies showing a link between breast size and breast cancer [2, 11]. Secondly, obesity may be associated with a more advanced stage at diagnosis because of an underlying endocrinological abnormality related to tumor

progression. Some studies suggest that locally increased estrogen levels promote tumor growth [2, 12].

In the results of our study the postmenopausal women presented with larger tumor size and more lymph node involvement when being overweight or obese. While premenopausal women stage not shown to be affected by BMI.

Abrahamson et al, [13], Loi et al, [14] and Ewertz et al, [15] demonstrate that tumor size and lymph node involvement both affected by obesity, in the other hand CUI et al, [16], Rinella et al, [17] and Li et al, [18] showed the same effect of BMI on tumor size but not for lymph node involvement as our study in regard to premenopausal cases.

The non-significant association effect of BMI on tumor size and lymph node in premenopausal cases could not be explained by early detection of the disease in Iraq as Alwan et al [19] showed that 90.6% of the cases detected the lumps by themselves, regrettably, only 32% sought medical advice within the first month after detection.

This may be due to the reported association between obesity and risk of premenopausal BC [2, 3]. An analysis of 7 studies involving 337,819 women and 4,385 breast cancer patients showed a differential association between BMI and premenopausal risk, comparing women with BMI > 31 kg/m² with women with BMI 21 kg/m². or information on risk factors for BC, such as menstrual history, reproductive history, hormonal contraceptive use, and health factors, were not available for analysis. It is possible that these factors are also related to the BC stage at measurement and thus our results may be biased on these potential risk factors were not included in the study.

Overweight/obesity seems not to affect the distant metastasis at diagnosis as it was not statistically significant in our study which is in concordance with CUI et al, [16] the effect of overweight/obesity confirmed to be affect the distant recurrence of the disease, Loi et al, [14] showed that the risks of developing metastases post 10 years was significantly raised by 46% for cases with a BMI = 30 kg/m² or more.

CONCLUSION

The weight seem to affect BC cases in postmenopausal state rather than premenopausal. Overweight/Obese postmenopausal cases tend to presented with ER/PR receptors positive, larger tumor size and more lymph node involvement. No effect of obesity on Her2nue receptors, metastasis at presentation, and grade of tumor in pre and postmenopausal cases. Prospective study needed to analyze the effect of obesity on prognosis of BC cases. Menstrual history, reproductive history, hormone use history

Word Count – Words: 4,072

and lifestyle factors need to be included in further study as they may affect obesity and characteristic of BC cases. Larger sample with data from multiple oncology centers in Iraq to be included in future studies.

Disclosure

None

References

1. Renehan AG, Tyson M, Egger M, Heller RF, Zwahlen M. Body-mass index and incidence of cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective observational studies. *Lancet* 2008; 371(9612):569–78.
2. Enger SM, Ross RK, Paganini-Hill A, Carpenter CL, Bernstein L. Body size, physical activity, and breast cancer hormone receptor status: results from two case-control studies. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2000; 9(7):681–7.
3. Maehle BO, Tretli S, Skjaerven R, Thorsen T. Premorbid body weight and its relations to primary tumour diameter in breast cancer patients; its dependence on estrogen and progesterone receptor status. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2001; 68(2):159–69.
4. Setiawan VW, Yang HP, Pike MC, McCann SE, Yu H, Xiang Y-B et al. Type I and II endometrial cancers: have they different risk factors? *J Clin Oncol* 2013; 31(20):2607–18.
5. Pestalozzi BC, Zahrieh D, Mallon E, Gusterson BA, Price KN, Gelber RD et al. Distinct clinical and prognostic features of infiltrating lobular carcinoma of the breast: combined results of 15 International Breast Cancer Study Group clinical trials. *J Clin Oncol* 2008; 26(18):3006–14.
6. Govind Babu K, Anand A, Lakshmaiah KC, Lokanatha D, Jacob LA, Suresh Babu MC, Lokesh KN, Rudresha HA, Rajeev LK, Saldanha SC, Giri GV, R C, Koppaka D, Panwar D, Kumar RV. Correlation of BMI with breast cancer subtype and tumour size. *Ecancermedalscience*. 2018 Jun 26;12:845. doi: 10.3332/ecancer.2018.845. PMID: 30034522; PMCID: PMC6027980.
7. Dalton LW, Page DL, Dupont WD. Histologic grading of breast carcinoma. A reproducibility study. *Cancer* 1994; 73(11):2765–70.
8. Biglia N, Peano E, Sgandurra P, Moggio G, Pecchio S, Maggiorotto F et al. Body mass index (BMI) and breast cancer: impact on tumor histopathologic features, cancer subtypes and recurrence rate in pre and postmenopausal women. *Gynecol Endocrinol* 2013; 29(3):263–7.
9. White AJ, Nichols HB, Bradshaw PT, Sandler DP. Overall and central adiposity and breast cancer risk in the Sister Study. *Cancer* 2015; 121(20):3700–8.
10. Tsakountakis N, Sanidas E, Stathopoulos E, Kafousi M, Anogiannaki N, Georgoulas V et al. Correlation of breast cancer risk factors with HER-2/neu protein overexpression according to menopausal and estrogen receptor status. *BMC Womens Health* 2005; 5(1):1.
11. Hoe AL, Mullee MA, Royle GT, Guyer PB, Taylor I. Breast size and prognosis in early breast cancer. *Ann R Coll Surg Engl* 1993; 75(1):18–22.
12. Hall HI, Coates RJ, Uhler RJ, Brinton LA, Gammon MD, Brogan D et al. Stage of breast cancer in relation to body mass index and bra cup size. *Int J Cancer* 1999; 82(1):23–7.
13. Abrahamson PE, Gammon MD, Lund MJ, Flagg EW, Porter PL, Stevens J et al. General and abdominal obesity and survival among young women with breast cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2006; 15(10):1871–7.
14. Loi S, Milne RL, Friedlander ML, McCredie MRE, Giles GG, Hopper JL et al. Obesity and outcomes in premenopausal and postmenopausal breast cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2005; 14(7):1686–91.

15. Ewertz M, Jensen M-B, Gunnarsdóttir KÁ, Højris I, Jakobsen EH, Nielsen D et al. Effect of obesity on prognosis after early-stage breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2011; 29(1):25–31.
16. Cui Y, Whiteman MK, Flaws JA, Langenberg P, Tkaczuk KH, Bush TL. Body mass and stage of breast cancer at diagnosis. *Int J Cancer*. 2002 Mar 10;98(2):279-83. doi: 10.1002/ijc.10209. PMID: 11857420.
17. Rinella ES, Shao Y, Yackowski L, Pramanik S, Oratz R, Schnabel F, Guha S, LeDuc C, Campbell CL, Klugman SD, Terry MB, Senie RT, Andrulis IL, Daly M, John EM, Roses D, Chung WK, Ostrer H. Genetic variants associated with breast cancer risk for Ashkenazi Jewish women with strong family histories but no identifiable BRCA1/2 mutation. *Hum Genet*. 2013 May;132(5):523-36. doi: 10.1007/s00439-013-1269-4. Epub 2013 Jan 25. PMID: 23354978; PMCID: PMC4072456.
18. Li CI, Daling JR, Porter PL, Tang MT, Malone KE. Relationship between potentially modifiable lifestyle factors and risk of second primary contralateral breast cancer among women diagnosed with estrogen receptor-positive invasive breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol*. 2009 Nov 10;27(32):5312-8. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2009.23.1597. Epub 2009 Sep 8. PMID: 19738113; PMCID: PMC2773216.
19. Alwan NAS. Breast cancer: demographic characteristics and clinico-pathological presentation of patients in Iraq. *East Mediterr Health J* 2010; 16(11):1159–64.
20. García-Estévez L, Cortés J, Pérez S, Calvo I, Gallegos I, Moreno-Bueno G. Obesity and Breast Cancer: A Paradoxical and Controversial Relationship Influenced by Menopausal Status. *Front Oncol*. 2021 Aug 13;11:705911. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2021.705911. PMID: 34485137; PMCID: PMC8414651.